NUNC PRO TUNC

Karl Smith
VS
United State
Postal Sevice
2538 midway
San Diego ca
92110

Case no. 07CV 1964 IEG NLS

FILED
07 OCT 17 AM 11:00
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
BY: cp DEPUTY

PLainTiFF! Karl is THE PlainTiFF: is Seeking Damages InJuncTive relief base Karl Smith Privacy AcT of 1974 (5 U.S.C. 552a) Federal Law barring discrimination grounds of race, color, national, disability, age, sex, under (HHS), including Title (6th) of the civil right Act 1964 (42 U.S.C. 2000d eT seq.), Sec 504 Reth, AcT of 1973).

I Karl Smith Should noT have anyone, any discrimination Karl Smith.

Under 5 U.S.C. 552a (K)(2) and the HHS Privacy AcT reguLation aT 45 CFR 5b. 11 OCR ComPlainT records have investigatory For Karl Smith Legal Law enforcement For The PlainTiFF: Karl Smith Personal Privacy: also The PlainTiFF: Karl Smith is Disabilite, so The Title II of the Americans with Disabilities act (42 U.S.C. 12131 eT seq) also THE Department of JusTice reguLation at 28 CFR 35, with give HHS Designated agency" To investigate Discrimination ComPlaints;

Karl Smith

Karl Smith
VS
U.S. Postal
Sevice
2538 midway
San Diego ca
92101

Case no CV 1964 IEG NLS

Plaintiff: Karl Smith:

This is a civil suit Seeking Damages, injunctive Relief Base on The act at 18 U.S.C 1001. authorize prosecution and penalties or a fine, or imprisonment or conviction For any Fraud or False Statement, or any unlegal act.

For any mail That was Tooken Fraud, Falsifies, knowingly and willfully Falsifies, Fraudulent or writing Document knowing; under or within the Jurisdiction of any Department of any agency of the United State:

I Plaintiff; Karl Smith is seeking Relief For the Discrimination Act 1964 (42 U.S.C. 2000d et seq.

Karl Smith

KarL Smith
VS
United State
PosTaL Sevice
2538 miDway
San Diego
ca
92110

case no 07 CV 1964 IEg nis

PLainTiFF: KarL Smith as'K For Due care:
Duty of care establishes the behavior that musT be given and a situation.
a basic rule is that a person musT conform to a standard of care of "a" reasonable person under the circumstances.

PLainTiFF! KarL SmiTh maiL is being deprived By the U.S. PosTaL sevice giving Karl Smith maiL is adulterated Sec. 301 (a) 402 (a) (3) 406 Federal Food. Drug. and cosmetic acT.
This is also a criminal prosecution by Information, Base on a claimed violation of 21 U.S.C. 301 et seq: F.D.A acT. that maiL is adulterated 21 U.S.C 331 (a) By the consisted in part of Filthy SuBsTance: 21 U.S.C 342 (a)(3).

Karl Smith

Karl Smith. case no 07 cv 1964 IEG NLS
VS
United State
PosTaL Service
2538 midway
San Diego
CA
92110

PLainTiFF: Karl Smith Due Process of Law

(a) I Karl Smith as'K That my case Be raised issues as whether I could obtain a Fair and imparTiaL hearing as guaranteed by The U.S. consTitutional.

ProceduraL due Process: That Karl Smith Have a Fair hearing. noTice of the Proceeding; OPPorTunity to be heard and an imparTiaL triBunaL; also Karl Smith ParT of his FiFth and 14th amendment of The United State consTitution state that no person shall be deprived of life, liberTy, or property, without Due Process of Law; Due Process consists of SubsTantive Due Process and ProceduraL Due Process, SubsTantive Law is the "acTuaL Law" and ProceduraL Law is to enforced The adminisTered Law;

Karl Smith

Karl Smith
VS
United State
Postal Sevice
2538 midway
San Diego ca
92110

Case NO, 07 CV 1964 IEG NLS

PLainTiFF'. KarL Smith is seeking InJuntive Relief Base on the Damages it is the U.S. Postal Sevice Negligence by the Failure to do what is reasonable UNDER The circumstance. This definition may seem Vague,

PLainTiFF: KarL Smith has the burden of Proving by the preponderance of the evidence. By the adulterated mail:

I Karl Smith [redacted] will bring the To my case:

Karl Smith